

---

## UNIT 11 INTER-FAITH DIALOGUE\*

---

### Structure

Introduction

*Aims and Objectives*

A Brief History

Necessity of Interreligious Dialogue

Forms of Interreligious Dialogue

The Dialogue of Life

The Dialogue of Common Action/Social Dialogue

Theological Dialogue or Discourse

The Dialogue of Religious Experience

Obstacles to Dialogue

Challenges of Interreligious Dialogue

Preconditions for Meaningful Interfaith Dialogue

Interreligious/Interfaith Organisations

United Nations Support

Importance of Interreligious Dialogue

Criticism of Interreligious/Interfaith Dialogue

Let Us Sum Up

Some Useful References

Answers to Check Your Progress Exercises

---

### 11.0 INTRODUCTION

---

Interfaith dialogue, also referred to as interreligious dialogue, is about people of different faiths coming to a mutual understanding and respect that allows them to live and cooperate with each other in spite of their differences. In other words it refers to cooperative, constructive and positive interaction between people of different religious traditions (i.e., “faiths”) and/or spiritual or humanistic beliefs, at both the individual and institutional levels. It is distinct from syncretism or alternative religion, in that dialogue often involves promoting understanding between different religions or beliefs to increase acceptance of others, rather than to synthesize new beliefs. Through such dialogues, interfaith differences, that many a time cause conflicts, can reasonably be reduced.

Some interfaith dialogues have more recently adopted the name inter-belief dialogue, (Mehta: 2014) while other proponents have proposed the term inter-path dialogue, to avoid implicitly excluding atheists, agnostics, humanists, and others with no religious faith but with ethical or philosophical beliefs, as well as to be more accurate concerning many world religions that do not place the same emphasis on “faith” as do some Western religions. Similarly, pluralistic rationalistic groups have hosted public reasoning dialogues to transcend all worldviews (whether religious, cultural or political), termed Trans belief dialogue (Harvard: 2012). In this unit we will discuss various aspects of this.

---

\* Dr. (Ms.) Ashu Pasricha, Assistant Professor, Deptt of Gandhian Studies Panjab University, Chandigarh

### *Aims and Objectives*

After studying this Unit, you will be able to understand:

- The meaning and Concept of Inter-faith Dialogue;
- Importance of Inter-faith Dialogue;
- Policies of religions to Inter-faith Dialogue; and
- Inter-faith/inter—religious organisations.

---

## **11.1 A BRIEF HISTORY**

---

The history of interfaith dialogue is as ancient as religion itself. When not at war with their neighbours, human beings have made an effort to understand them (not least because understanding is a strategy for defense, but also because for as long as there is dialogue, wars are delayed). History records many examples of interfaith initiatives and dialogue throughout the ages.

The Emperor Akbar, for example, encouraged tolerance in Mughal India, a diverse nation with people of various faith backgrounds, including Islam, Hinduism, Sikhism, and Christianity. Religious pluralism can also be seen in other historical contexts.

There have been several meetings referred to as a Parliament of the World's Religions, most notably the World Parliament of Religions of 1893, the first attempt to create a global dialogue

between religions. The event was celebrated by another conference on its centenary in 1993. This led to a new series of conferences under the official title "Parliament of the World's Religions". (<http://www.parliamentofreligions.org>). In early 20th Century, dialogue started to take place between the Abrahamic faiths - Christianity, Judaism, Islam and Bahá'í. In 1965 - the Roman Catholic Church issued the Vatican II document *Nostra aetate*, instituting major policy changes in the Catholic Church's policy towards non-Christian religions. On October 13, 2007 Muslims expanded their message. In *A Common Word between Us and You*, 138 Muslim scholars, clerics and intellectuals unanimously came together for the first time since the days of the Prophet[s] to declare the common ground between Christianity and Islam. In July 2008 - a historic interfaith dialogue conference was initiated by King Abdullah of Saudi Arabia to solve world problems through concord instead of conflict. In January 2009, at Gujarat's Mahuva, the Dalai Lama inaugurated an interfaith "World Religions-Dialogue and Symphony" conference. All these are efforts to avoid conflicts by better understanding of each other.

---

### **Religion as a Source of Peace-building**

---

**Religion can be a source of conflict, but it can also be a source of peacebuilding. Hence it is particularly incumbent upon religious communities and religious leaders to play a positive role in peacebuilding.**

**South Africa is a good example where in the struggle against apartheid, it was religious leaders (particularly in the black community, in the African community – but also in the among some liberal whites) who were at the forefront of nonviolent protest, of pushing for change, of trying to break the conscience (the Christian conscience) of those who were underpinning the apartheid system.**

---

---

## NECESSITY OF INTERFAITH DIALOGUE

---

Interfaith dialogue is necessary for peace. As Plato stated: “He seems to me to have thought the world foolish in not understanding that all are always at war with one another; and if in war there ought to be common men and certain persons regularly appointed under others to protect an army, they should be continued in peace”. (Chroust: 1947, 47) This means that it is peace we need, not war. Commonly people say that if you want peace, you must prepare for war and if you want peace, you must prepare for peace. When we say that Inter-faith dialogue is necessary, we mean that without it we cannot reach to the end or with it, we can reach to the end with fewer difficulties. The end here can be understood as peace or justice or harmony or coexistence or cooperation. Interfaith dialogue, according to Lobo (2002), is not a human activity, but that of God. The human person is dialogical individual whose whole life is marked by dialogue with God, with his fellow humans and with the world or the creation. The very life of God is dialogical. So it becomes necessary that we live the life of God because that is the vocation of men and women. Both the inner nature of God and the outer relationships of God with humans are profoundly dialogical. (Lobo: 2002) It goes well with the pedagogy of God; so it must be the pedagogy of humans.

---

## FORMS OF INTERFAITH DIALOGUE

---

Dialogue is a means of building the openness, understanding and trust needed to live and cooperate with each other despite any differences that may exist. It can take place in different ways and on different levels. These are briefly described here:

### **The Dialogue of Life**

It can be called “unarticulated dialogue” because it could take place in all the ordinary interactions and interrelations between people of different religions, without a premeditated plan or structure. “Dialogue of life” according to Riffat Hassan, is not contrived. It arises naturally out of the processes of life - from the interaction, positive and negative, obvious and subtle, verbal and nonverbal, between various peoples or persons. It emerges as people strive to live in an open and neighbourly spirit, go on with their everyday business of getting on with each other, of being good neighbours and living in peace and harmony. While not overlooking the value of formal dialogue between officials, Hassan argues that scholars and observers should pay attention to the “dialogue from below”. Such dialogues can take place in our homes, families, villages, schools, towns and cities. Many ordinary but important things happen when we live, work, visit and study with people of different faiths. In places where religious and cultural differences exist, special effort is needed. There will be a need to make an effort to reach out, to understand, to break down our own prejudices and to overcome the barriers, stereotypes and suspicions that are in all of us. This is not easy and requires perseverance. It requires patience, willingness to listen and an acceptance that people are different, or have a world-view different from our own. To live in peace as our faith requires demands this effort.

### **The Dialogue of Common Action/Social Dialogue**

Cardinal Arinze calls it “dialogue of action”. This happens when people of different religions cooperate and involve themselves in joint projects for a common

good or common concern, such as building a road, bridge, a hospital or a center for learning. For instance, there are some joint organisations of Christians and Muslims working for the promotion of human rights and eradication of deceases (Arinze: 1990).

---

### **Example of Interfaith Dialogue and Peacebuilding in Nigeria**

---

**In Nigeria, thousands of people- both Christians and Muslims have been killed in violent conflicts. In Yelwa-Nshar, almost 1,000 individuals were killed in a month, resulting in tensions between Muslims and Christians. But religious communities have also contributed substantially to peace.**

**For over a decade, local pastor, James Wuye, and local Imam, Mohammed Ashafa, have worked for peacebuilding. In 2004, they brought together key leaders from the Muslim and Christian communities in Yelwa-Nshar. In intense, emotional meetings, they used a combination of interfaith dialogue and conflict resolution techniques to promote reconciliation. As a result, the two communities forged a peace agreement, which was supported by the governor of Plateau State and celebrated by people.**

**With a tentative peace holding, Wuye and Ashafa turned their peacebuilding attention to the city of Jos, capital of Plateau State, where a similar peace accord was reached and signed. Their work continues to this day.**

---

### **Theological Dialogue or Discourse**

This takes place on academic or official levels between experts, theologians and religious leaders. This kind of dialogue helps to clarify issues, to create greater understanding and remove prejudices. By establishing links and relationships between leaders, such dialogue can facilitate and enable practical cooperation and action on the other levels. The aim of this exchange is not to reach a common belief, but rather to clarify what each partner believes, to appreciate each other's spiritual values and to have a better understanding of differences. Here, specialists or theologians in various religions listen to one another, present the doctrines, beliefs and practices of their respective religions – not in a self-protective way but in a well-articulated manner, discover and rediscover their common points and differences. With the use of science and technology, they find a consensus where they can meet in order to face the challenges of the modern world.

### **The Dialogue of Religious Experience**

Prayer and meditation, as fundamental expressions of religious faith, could be interreligious meeting point because there are a lot of experiences to share in different traditions. These two experiences allow someone to start a journey towards the other; it takes place, particularly among believers who adore the same God (Judaism, Christianity and Islam). This involves interfaith prayers and also occasions when spirituality and religious texts are studied by members of both faiths. This kind of dialogue also requires a level of expertise.

Dialogue, in all its forms, involves a certain amount of patience and humility. Differences exist between people, but they also share a common humanity and a desire to serve the gods they believe in. Dialogue is living one's faith in the presence of people of other faiths. It is a means of loving neighbours who are not

of different faith by reaching out to them in a spirit of openness and tolerance. Doing this does not require one to engage in deep theological debate or depart from one own belief. It is more about having respect for people’s different belief’s and faith, about cooperation and living in peace with each other. It will take time to build up relationships, to gain understanding, and to come to trust one another. Dialogue should begin by focusing on things we have in common and on practical things we can do together. There are obstacles that prevent or make dialogue difficult. These need to be acknowledged and challenged.

**Key Points**

- Interfaith dialogue or interreligious dialogue refers it refers to cooperative, constructive and positive interaction between people of different religious traditions
- While the history of interfaith dialogue is ancient, in modern times the World Parliament of Religions of 1893 is notable for attempting global dialogue between religions.
- There are different forms of interfaith dialogue. Dialogue of life refers everyday interrelations between people of different religions, which happen naturally.
- Dialogue of common action refers cooperation among people of different religions in joint projects for common concerns.
- Theological dialogue takes place between experts, theologians and religious leaders to clarify issues, appreciate other’s spiritual values, and better understand differences.
- Dialogue of Religious Experience occurs among believers who use prayer and meditation as Interreligious meeting point to share experiences in different traditions.

**Check Your Progress Exercises 1**

**Note:** i) Use of the space given below for your answer.  
 ii) See the end of the Unit for tips for your answer.

1) Briefly explain the concept of interfaith dialogue and bring out its importance in Indian society.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

---

**OBSTACLES TO DIALOGUE**

---

Interreligious dialogue is possible but also difficult because of some hindrances. May be that is why Jean-Mary Gaudel emphasised said that the highest form of dialogue will always be the cross, not as a dogma, but as a mystery which we cannot avoid. Dialogue with other Religions goes with some obstacles. Many

scholars have listed some of these challenges as: paucity of deep knowledge of one's religion, deficiency of the knowledge of other religions, fear of the unknown, suspicion, lack of self-critical assessment, superiority and inferiority complexes, disparity between those taking part in dialogue, inequality in theological development. Neglect of natural law, confusion between faith and reason, lack of theological and metaphysical language that can be used to explain certain truths. The increasing the presence of independent preachers constitutes an obstacle. Again, when someone does not elevate the status of other religions to the level of world religions it could be an obstacle (Capalla: 2003). We are not saying that all religions are the same.

If we cannot avoid these obstacles in order to meet, we can at least understand them and create avenues whereby we can focus on what unites, and suspend what divides. Perhaps we need also a background, which can help us meet despite differences. Just knowing, who we are that we are all human beings, that we are all citizens of this world, could be a solid background for dialogue.

### **Challenges of Interreligious Dialogue**

Interfaith dialogues are not without their challenges. According to David Smock, "The depth of passion that accompanies interfaith dialogue and religious peacemaking also carries with it liabilities. Sharing at the deep level of religious conviction can generate resistance and defensiveness. Some of the challenges that may accompany interfaith dialogues are the following: (1) Religious narratives are often perceived to be incompatible with one another and can create disagreements. (2) Religious institutions that proselytize can increase conflicts and distrust. This is particularly true in contests communities are deeply polarized. Here interfaith dialogue runs the risk of being misunderstood as attempt to convert rather than build peace. (3) There can also be differences in the capacities of speakers which can exacerbate conflict. For example, problems may arise when some religious leader speaks well, better articulates their faith and thereby appears more convincing. In contrast, the other party is not able to be as articulate and thereby is left feeling defeated. Thus, speakers must be well-prepared and knowledgeable about their respective religions. (4) There is also a chance that some groups may refuse to engage in interfaith dialogue, either because they believe such encounters are not permitted by their faith or because they hold severe animosity towards other faith groups. (5) Interfaith dialogue may also oversimplify the similarities and convergences in different religions, thereby fail to inculcate the feeling of respect for differences in others religion. (4) Interfaith dialogue and other forms of religious peacemaking may also open old wound. However, despite these challenges there are even more reasons why interfaith dialogues can be effective as emissaries for peace, provided they meet some preconditions?

### **Preconditions for Meaningful Interfaith Dialogue**

A Boston College theologian, Catherine Cornille, identifies five preconditions for any meaningful interfaith dialogue: humility (causes a disturbance of one's view of other religions), commitment (causes a commitment to faith that simultaneously rejects intolerance to other faiths), interconnection (causes the recognition of shared common challenges such as the breakdown of families), empathy (causes one to view another religion from its own perspective), and most importantly hospitality (like the tent of Abraham, that was open on all

four sides as a sign of hospitality to any new comer). Breaking down the walls that divide faiths while respecting the uniqueness of each tradition requires the courageous embrace of all these preconditions (Balcomb: 2012, 40-49).

---

## INTERRELIGIOUS/INTERFAITH ORGANISATIONS

---

There have come up some organisations which have been engaged encouraging and organising inter-faith dialogues at various levels. Following are the better knows:

***International Interfaith Dialogue India (IID)*** is a interfaith voluntary organization established in 2009 at Kochi. Its objective is to promote religious harmony and peace by positive interfaith dialogue, highlighting and activating the moral and social values which are shared by all religions.

***Interfaith Encounter Association (IEA)*** was established in 2001 and works to build genuine coexistence and sustainable peace, through joint community building on the grassroots level, using interactive interfaith dialogue as its vehicle. The apolitical and all-inclusive approach of the organisation and its activities continuously form the human infrastructure for peace in the Holy Land and the Middle East.

***Messiah Foundation International*** is an interfaith organisation which aims to promote mutual love, peace and understanding between members of all religions and faiths through the spiritual sciences taught by Ra Gohar Shahi. MFI has centres across the globe, including in the United States of America, Canada, the United Kingdom of Great Britain, India, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, and Japan.

***Project Interfaith*** is a non-profit organisation that aims to grow understanding, respect and relationships among people of all faiths, beliefs and cultures. The goals of the organisation are approached through online media resources (particularly Ravel Unravel) as well as community-building programs that educate and engage a variety of audiences on issues of faith, religion, identity, interfaith relations, and religious and cultural diversity.

***Insight Film Festival*** is a biannual interfaith Community Interest Company that exists to encourage filmmakers throughout the world to make films about ‘faith’. It creates events and spaces where such films can be displayed, discussed and celebrated. It welcomes participants from all faith backgrounds and none, and focuses particularly on young filmmakers. In doing so, it wants to make positive contributions to understanding, respect and community cohesion. (Public Research Institute: 2012)

***United Religions Initiative (URI)*** was founded in 2000 to promote daily, lasting interfaith cooperation, end religiously motivated violence, and create cultures of peace, justice, and healing for the Earth and all living beings. With hundreds of thousands of members in 80+ countries representing over 200 religions and indigenous traditions, URI uses “cooperation circles” to promote dialogue and action.

***The Jordan Interfaith Co-existence Research Centre*** is a Jordanian non-governmental organisation for promoting peaceful religious coexistence. It fosters grassroots interfaith dialogue and works on creating interreligious harmony.

*Heavenly Culture, World Peace, Restoration of Light (HWPL)* is a non-governmental, non-profit organisation registered under the Korean Ministry of Foreign Affairs that transcends differences in culture, belief and region to engage leaders and youth in sustainable and comprehensive solutions for peace. To that end, HWPL is committed to community development through volunteer outreach, women advocacy, and cultural and religious exchange through International Women's Peace Group (IWPG) and youth engagement through International Peace Youth Group (IPYG).

*WARP Summit and a Religious Dialogue for Peace.* While it may, in most cases be too simplistic to say that ideological differences based on religion or culture is the cause of war in our world today, it is not too much to say that these differences are exploited to heighten the community's propensity for violent behaviour.

Through the World Alliance of Religions Peace Summit, HWPL seeks to create a forum for dialogue centering on the creation of a commonly acceptable value-system between secular and religious communities in all socio-political sectors of our diverse societies. Such a value system will form the basis for the creation of peace agreements and a universally applicable constitution of interfaith relations, as well as education materials which can be used across the spectrum of humanity's diversity to begin revolutionising the basic precepts of our cultures and ideologies which provide the central tenants promoting conflict and violent behaviour.

The above are some of the well known organisations engaged in and encouraging inter-faith dialogue. There are many others at country specific levels, regional levels and international levels that are continuously working for peace and conflict resolutions through mutual understanding.

### **11.5.1 United Nations Support**

In 2010, HM King Abdullah II addressed the 65th UN General Assembly and proposed the idea for a 'World Interfaith Harmony Week' to further broaden his goals of faith-driven world harmony by extending his call beyond the Muslim and Christian community to include people of all beliefs, those with no set religious beliefs as well. A few weeks later, HRH Prince Ghazi bin Muhammad presented the proposal to the UN General Assembly, where it was adopted unanimously as a UN Observance Event.

The first week of February, every year, has been declared a UN World Interfaith Harmony Week. The Royal Islamic Strategic Studies Centre released a document which summarises the key events leading up to the UN resolution as well as documenting some Letters of Support and Events held in honour of the week.

---

## **IMPORTANCE OF INTERRELIGIOUS DIALOGUE**

---

Interreligious dialogue increases the understanding of the beliefs and practices of other religions. This enhanced understanding can lead to a more peaceable coexistence in the pluralistic culture of the 21st century. Through sustainable and respectful relationships based on dialogue and engagement, conflicts resulting

from or exacerbated by fear, disrespect and misunderstanding between communities are less likely to occur, and, when they do, are less likely to devolve into violence. As people of different religious communities encounter each other in neighborhoods where they live, in mutual service, in schools, in government, and in civic activities, the foundations established through dialogue enables and empowers these people to know the areas in which mutual activity can ease their living and working together in society, as well as to know in advance the areas in which religious differences can make Mutual undertakings difficult. Because interreligious dialogue will inevitably bring to the surface the differences between religions, the discussants are forced to examine their own beliefs in order to support their stated positions. This examination will ultimately increase self-understanding.

### Key Points

- Interfaith dialogues are not easy. They run the risk of increasing disagreements, creating fear of proselytizing, oversimplifying religious tenets to stress similarities; opening old wounds and exacerbating conflict.
- Meaningful interfaith dialogue that can break walls while respecting uniqueness of each religion, must be imbued with humility, commitment, interconnection, empathy, and hospitality.
- The first week of February each year has been declared as UN World Interfaith Harmony Week.
- Interfaith dialogue increases respect and understanding of other religions, which is important for building peaceful coexistence.

## CRITICISM OF INTERFAITH DIALOGUE

The group *Hizb ut-Tahrir* rejects the concept of interfaith dialogue, stating that it is a western tool to enforce non-Islamic policies in the Islamic world. Many Traditionalist Catholics, not merely *Sedevacantists* or the Society of St. Pius X, are critical of interfaith dialogue as a harmful novelty arising after the Second Vatican Council, which is said to have altered the previous notion of the Catholic Church's supremacy over other religious groups or bodies, as well as demoted traditional practices associated with traditional Roman Catholicism. In addition, these Catholics contend that, for the sake of collegial peace, tolerance and mutual understanding, interreligious dialogue devalues the divinity of Jesus Christ and the revelation of the Triune God by placing Christianity on the same footing as other religions that worship other deities.

According to some Hindu critics, it has been argued that the so-called interfaith “dialogue ... has [in fact] become the harbinger of violence. This is not because ‘outsiders’ have studied Hinduism or because the Hindu participants are religious ‘fundamentalists’ but because of the logical requirements of such a dialogue.” With a detailed analysis of “two examples from Hinduism studies”, S. N. Balangadhara and Sarah Claerhout argue that, “in certain dialogical situations, the requirements of reason conflict with the requirements of morality”. (Balangadhara: 2008)

Check Your Progress Exercises 2

- Note: i) Use of the space given below for your answer.
ii) See the end of the Unit for tips for your answer.

1) Explain the obstacles to interreligious dialogue and risks involved in it.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

LET US SUM UP

Inter-religious/inter-faith dialogues have emerged as important means to reduce religious, ethnic and communal conflicts. Interfaith dialogue is not just words or talk. It includes human interaction and relationships. It can take place between individuals and communities and on many levels. For example, between neighbours, in schools and in our places of work – it can take place in both formal and informal settings. Normal life means that we come into daily contact with each other. Dialogue therefore, is not just something that takes place on an official or academic level only – it is part of daily life during which different cultural and religious groups interact with each other directly, and where tensions between them are the most tangible.

Throughout the world there are local, regional, national and international interfaith initiatives; many are formally or informally linked and constitute larger networks or federations. The often quoted, was formulated by Dr. Hans Kung, a Professor of Ecumenical Theology and President of the Global Ethic Foundation.

At present, many people are simply unaware of the need for interreligious dialogue. Some are not interested while others actively oppose interfaith cooperation. Multi-religious and multicultural nature of society is still a relatively new experience. Therefore, for many, the need to make an effort in order to ensure that we live together in peace and justice has not yet been fully realised. As people of faith and as people who wish to build social harmony and justice, we have a role to both raise awareness of this need and to actively respond to it.

SOME USEFUL REFERENCES

Abu Nimer, M., et al, (2007) Unity in Diversity: Interfaith Dialogue in the Middle East. Washington, DC: US Institute of Peace Press.

“Ahmadiyya Muslim Community to hold Peace Conference in Malta”, Thmadiyya times. Retrieved 19 October, 2010.

Arinze, C. F., (1990) Church in Dialogue: Walking with Other Believers. San Francisco: Ignatius Press.

Balagangadhara, S.N; Claerhout, Sarah, (2008) “Are Dialogues Antidotes to

Violence? Two Recent Examples from Hinduism Studies”, *Journal for the Study of Religions and Ideologies* 7(9).

Balcomb, Michael W., (2015) “Religion As An Obstacle To Peace?” *Dialogue & Alliance* 26.2 (2012): 40-49. ATLA Religion Database with ATLASerials. Web. 10 Feb.

Catharine Cookson, (ed.), (2003) *Encyclopedia of Religious Freedom*. UK: Taylor & Francis.

Gleanings (1967): *Essays in Jewish History, Letters, and Art by Cecil Roth*. Published by Hermon Press for Bloch Publishing Company. <http://www.parliamentofreligions.org/index.cfm?n=1&sn=4>

Hick, John (ed.), (1974) “Truth and Dialogue: the Relationship between World Religions”, in series, *Studies in Philosophy and Religion* (London: Sheldon Press).

“Interreligious Dialogue”. World Council of Churches. Retrieved 24 July 2012.

Kamenetz, Rodger, (1994) *The Jew in the Lotus*. USA: Harper Collins.

Momen, Moojan, (1999) *Understanding Religion: A Thematic Approach*. Oxford, UK: Oneworld Publications. <http://www.parliamentofreligions.org/index.cfm?n=1&sn=4> <http://quran.com/3>

Musser, D & Sunderland, D., (2005) *War or Words: Interreligious Dialogue as an Instrument of Peace*. Cleveland: The Pilgrim Press.

Peter Lobo, (2002) “Brief Historical Background to Interfaith Dialogue in Sound the Conc.”, *Conference on Interfaith Dialogue*. Bangkok-Thailand.

Renee Garfinkel, (2004) *What Works: Evaluating Interfaith Dialogue*. United States Institute of Peace, Special Report #123.

Saudi Embassy - Saudi King Abdullah Commences Interfaith Dialogue Conference in Madrid, Spain. <http://www.saudiembassy.net/press-releases/press07170801.aspx>

Smock, D. (ed.), (2002) *Interfaith Dialogue and Peace Building*. Washington, DC: US Institute of Peace Press.

Tausch, Arno, (2015) Towards New Maps of Global Human Values, Based on World Values Survey (6) Data, March 31. **Available at SSRN:** <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2587626> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2587626>

“The Morning Buzz,” *Public Religion Research Institute*, July 10, 2014. Retrieved July 10, 2014.

---

## ANSWERS TO CHECK YOUR PROGRESS EXERCISES

---

### Check Your Progress Exercises 1

- 1) Your answer should include religion as a source of peace building, forms and kind of interfaith dialogue and everyday interrelations between people of different relations.

### Check Your Progress Exercises 2

- 1) Your answer should have the following points:
  - a) Obstacles to dialogue
  - b) Interreligious faith and organisation and
  - c) United Nations support to interfaith dialogue.

